超新星の未解決問題と 早期発見・分光の重要性

前田 啓一 京都大学 宇宙物理学教室 Tomoe-Kyoto 3.8m SN WG (?) (大澤、太田、酒向、田中、富永、松林、諸隈) Tomoe – 京都3.8m Time Domain研究会 @京都、2017.02.20



Supernova 2014J in Galaxy M82 Hubble Space Telescope • WFC3/UVIS • ACS/WFC



Supernova Classification



la

Thermonuclear exp. of a white dwarf (WD) II/Ib/IC Core-Collapse (CC) of a massive star

> H-rich He

> > C+O

Si

Fe

SN emission: first few days



Progenitor Companion CSM

R~Vt ρ∝t⁻³

 $\begin{array}{cccc} & \text{Thermal} & {}^{56}\text{Ni}{\rightarrow}\text{Co}{\rightarrow}\text{Fe} & \text{CSM-int.} \\ \text{E}, M_{ej}, \text{R} & \text{E}, M_{ej}, M({}^{56}\text{Ni}) & \text{E}, M_{ej}, \text{CSM} \\ & \downarrow \\ \text{UV/Opt} & & \\ & \text{Opt/IR} & & \\ & \text{X/Radio/Opt} \end{array}$

SN emission: weeks to months



SN emission: months to years



Unresolved problems for CC-SNe

- Explosion mechanism.
- Final evolution of massive stars (single & binary).
 - Progenitor at the time of the explosion.
 - Mass loss in the final decades.







Main topics addressed by the early emissions

- CC-SNe la:
 - Shock Breakout [Morokuma, Suzuki].
 - Progenitor radius and composition.
 - CSM at < ~ a few 0.01pc scale (final mas loss).</p>
- SNe la:
 - Outermost layer (progenitor and/or explosion).
 - Companion or not [Jiang].
 - Explosion mechanism.
 - CSM and extinction [Nagao].
- Connection to the other properties [Yamanaka].

CC SN statistics



Ic

14.9%

Ib

Extensive data set: ~ 10 SNe? (peak ~ 15 mag) Focusing on early to max: ~ 100 SNe? (peak ~ 17 mag)

CC SNe: Progenitor Radius and properties

- Direct detection (pre-SN HST) limited.
- A tension between the progenitor and SN emission?
- Diversity not fully understood.
- A need for
 - A large sample.
 - Independent
 method.
 - Comprehensive data for SN itself (w/ progenitor information).



CC SNe: Progenitor radius and properties

days Surface→Radius, <u>Composition</u>→**Progenitor**





"Flash" spectroscopy

Yaron+ 17 iPTF13dqy = SN2013fs

Recombination from the massive CSM near the SN???

(so far detected in one SN Ib and some SNe II) \rightarrow New probe of CSM.





Mass loss in the final days to decades (< 10¹⁵cm)





Extensive data set (w/i 1 day): ~ 10 SNe? (peak ~ 14 mag) Moderate data set (w/i 3 days): ~ 100 SNe? (peak ~ 16 mag)

SNe Ia: Properties of the outermost layer



Folatelli+ 2011

Zhao, KM+ 2016, Sai, KM+, in prep.

SNe Ia: Properties of the outermost layer

An **Only** example of SN Ia spectrum in the 1st day. Rapid change in the oxygen feature... origin not clear yet.



No SN-companion crush (against SD?)



Examples with no signatures

SN-companion crush? (SD?)



w/ a blue spectrum @ -16 day



Examples with possible signatures

CSM around SNe la

Yamanaka, KM+ 2016





Discovery of "CSM NIR echo" from a peculiar SN Ia 2012dn.
1. Long-term NIR follow-up. See Nagao's talk.
2. Color evolution before the peak (~ 100 SNe per year?).
3. A high-res. spec. before the peak (for ~ 10 / year w/ 8m's?)

A need for follow-up (examples for 8m)

Late-phase spec. (~year) ⇒ Explosion and progenitor.



Emission lines from the region where the explosion started. My Homework: finalize the Subaru sample before the tomoe-3.8m. High-res. spec

 \Rightarrow CSM and progenitor.



Probing the absorption through CSM (or no CSM).

Understanding the origin of diversity



KM, Terada 2016

SNe Ia may likely come from multiple progenitor paths / explosion modes. ⇒ Rapid discovery and followup not only for normal, but for outliers.

Natures of progenitors of type Ia Supernovae (SNe Ia) have not yet been clarified. There has been long and intensive discussion on whether the so-called single degenerate (SD) scenario or the double degenerate (DD) scenario, or anything else, could explain a major population of SNe Ia, but the conclusion has not yet been reached. With rapidly increasing observational data and new theoretical ideas, the field of studying the SN Ia progenitors has been quickly developing, and various new insights have been obtained in recent years. This article aims at providing a summary of the current situation regarding the SN Ia progenitors, both in theory and observations. It seems difficult to explain the emerging diversity seen in observations of SNe Ia by a single population, and we emphasize that it is important to clarify links between different progenitor scenarios and different sub-classes of SNe Ia.

Summary and opinions for Tomoe-3.8m

- Many exciting scientific outcomes for SNe expected.
- Early discovery + quick follow-up (esp. spec) is key.

– Stellar evolution in the **final months – decades.**

Long-term follow-up important as well.

– We need a comprehensive view for **real science**.

- Do our own science.
 - We need young generation (attract/educate(?)).
 - Keep time to think about new ideas.
 - Simple organization, systematic observations/reduction.
 - Finish the pilot studies in one year. Be ready.