### Supernovae with Tomo-e Gozen

Nozomu Tominaga

(Konan University)



10<sup>th</sup> Jul 2018 木曽シュミットシンポジウム2018 Supernovae with Tomo-e Gozen

### SN IIb 2016gkg – shock breakout –



Bersten+18

### What is the shock breakout?



Radiation dominate after the shock wave and radiation are fully coupled with matter.

## When the shock wave approaches the stellar surface,

- Shock velocity: v<sub>sh</sub>
- Diffusion velocity of radiation:  $c/\tau$
- If  $c/\tau > v_{sh}$  (typically,  $\tau < c/v_{sh} \sim 10$ ),



- Radiation partially affects matter and vice versa.
- Radiation hydrodynamics and >=2 temperatures are required.

#### Shock Breakout (Ensman & Burrows 92)



### SN IIb 2016gkg – shock breakout –



Bersten+18

### How many nearby galaxies?



**GLADE** catalog

# Shock breakout of SN2016gkg $M_{peak}^{\sim}$ -15mag, $\tau^{\sim}$ 1hr

- 16723 galaxies at <40Mpc (M<sub>peak</sub>)
- 9449 galaxies at <25Mpc (M<sub>peak</sub> + 1mag)
- Tomo-e can cover 5000deg<sup>2</sup>/~3hr (1/8 of all sky)
- 2000 (1200) galaxies at <40 (25)Mpc/~3hr
- 10<sup>6</sup> galaxies = 500 (850) times "~3hr observations"
- 170 (280) clear nights = ~2-3yrs
- But we cannot put 2 LC points during the shock breakout.



## Shock breakout of Type IIP SNe $M_{peak}^{\sim}$ -15- -16mag, $\tau^{\sim}$ 1-4hr



- It would be easier by a factor of several than SN2016gkg, in terms of brightness and duration.
- 1mag brighter (x2) and 4 times longer (x4)

### Type IIP SN 2016esw observed within the 1st day after the explosion



# Rapid rise of Type IIP SNe $M_{peak}$ ~-17- -18mag, $\tau$ ~5days

- 436444 galaxies at <250Mpc (m<sub>lim</sub>=19mag) but the GLADE catalog is incomplete
- Assuming 10<sup>-6</sup>SN/day/Mpc<sup>3</sup> and 10hr obs./day
  - 3SNe/night (V=8x10<sup>6</sup>Mpc<sup>3</sup>, M<sub>peak</sub>)
  - 0.2SNe/night (V=5x10<sup>5</sup>Mpc<sup>3</sup>, M<sub>peak</sub>+1mag)
  - 0.05SNe/night (V=1.4x10<sup>5</sup>Mpc<sup>3</sup>, M<sub>peak</sub>+2mag)
- 1SNe with rapid rise over 2mag / 20 clear nights (~2months)

### Origin of rapid rising

Wind shock breakout



• Cooling envelope

(Nakar & Sari 10; Rabinak & Waxman 11; Shussman+16; Sapir & Waxman 16)



Moriya, NT+11

#### González-Gaitán, NT+15

### Wind shock breakout SN IIP with dense CSM



 $E = (0.1-1.3) \times 10^{51} \text{ erg},$  $M_{CSM} = 0.18-0.83 M_{\odot}$ for 70% of the SNe

SNe IIP with dense CSM will not have the shock breakouts at the stellar surface.

We may need to reduce the expected number of shock breakouts by a factor of 1/3.

Morozova+17

### Wind shock breakout SN IIP with accerelating dense CSM



SN2013fs –firm evidence of dense CSM-



Yaron+17

Rapid follow-up obs. is important!

### Follow-up observations





Okayama 3.8m new technology optical infrared telescope





### SN2013fs -strict upper limit in radio-



Yaron+17

### SN2013fs -structure of CSM wind-



Yaron+17

#### Variable mass loss rate at the end of presupernova evolution?

### Summary

- Tomo-e SN survey will detect rising part of shock breakout (~1SN/2-3yrs) and shock breakout of SNe IIP (~1SN/1yr).
- We may increase the cadence later to capture the evolution of the shock breakout.
- Secure targets are the rapid rising of SNe IIP (~1SN/2months).
- Immediate and continuous follow-up observations are quite important. Tomo-e SN survey and followup obs. will reveal the fate of the massive stars.