The Schmidt Law at High Molecular Densities
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Abstract

We combined Hα and recent high-resolution 12CO (J = 1–0) data to consider the quantitative relation between the gas mass and the star-formation rate, or the so-called Schmidt law in nearby spiral galaxies at regions of high molecular density. The relation between the gas quantity and the star-formation rate has not been previously studied for high-density regions, but using high-resolution CO data obtained at the Nobeyama Millimeter Array, we found that the Schmidt law is valid at densities as high as $10^3 M_\odot$ pc$^{-2}$ for sample spiral galaxies, which is an order of magnitude denser than what has been known to be the maximum density at which the empirical law holds for non-starburst galaxies. Furthermore, we obtained a Schmidt law index of $N = 1.33 \pm 0.09$ and a roughly constant star-formation efficiency over the entire disk, even within several hundred parsecs of the nucleus. These results imply that the physics of star formation does not change in the central regions of spiral galaxies. Comparisons with starburst galaxies are also given. We find a possible discontinuity in the Schmidt law between normal and starburst galaxies.
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1. Introduction

The global, disk-averaged star formation rate, $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$, and the gas density, $\Sigma_{\text{SMD}}$, in nearby galaxies are known to be well correlated, producing a simple power law called the Schmidt law: $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}} \propto \Sigma_{\text{SMD}}^N$ (Schmidt 1959). The determination of the index $N$ has been extensively studied, producing values of between 1 and 2. However, the density range at which this law holds for normal galaxies has not been studied as much. The lower density cutoff for the Schmidt law has been argued by Kennicutt (1989) based on gravitational instability, giving a critical gas density of 5 to 10 $M_\odot$ pc$^{-2}$, where star formation is strongly suppressed below this limit. On the other hand, there has been only marginal success for the observational consideration of the Schmidt law at higher densities. This is primarily due to the limit in spatial resolution of CO observations, where the 12CO (J = 1–0) emission at $\lambda = 2.6$ mm is used as a tracer of molecular gas.

High molecular density regions are spatially small in general, owing to the molecular cloud’s patchy structure and central condensation (Sakamoto et al. 1999). Therefore, it is essential to make CO observations with smaller beam sizes (higher resolution). Rownd and Young (1999) have shown that the Schmidt law holds better when sampled within galaxies, using 45″ resolution data at the center of over 100 nearby galaxies (see figure 11 in Rownd, Young 1999). However, the densest gas in galaxies is normally confined to within a few hundred parsecs of the nucleus (e.g., Sakamoto et al. 1999; Sofue et al. 2003a). Therefore, a 45″ resolution, which corresponds to kpc scales in nearby galaxies, is too large to consider the validity of the Schmidt law at the highest densities. Kennicutt (1998) has shown that the Schmidt law holds at higher densities if we include a sample of IR luminous starburst galaxies with $L_{\text{FIR}} \sim 10^{10} - 10^{11} L_\odot$ to $L_{\text{FIR}} \leq 10^{13} L_\odot$ at high molecular densities. Including this sample has extended the Schmidt law up to an unchallenged value of $\Sigma_{\text{SMD}} \sim 10^5 M_\odot$ pc$^{-2}$ (see figure 6 in Kennicutt 1998). However, IR luminous starbursts represent special physical conditions that display a systematic difference from normal spirals, and including this sample in considering the validity of Schmidt law may lead to a misunderstanding of the physical properties that underlies the poorly understood power law. Gao and Solomon (2004) have indeed found that the IR luminous galaxies with $L_{\text{IR}} \leq 10^{11} L_\odot$ display a systematic difference from normal galaxies in view of Schmidt law, in that the ratio of HCN to CO rises abruptly for IR luminous galaxies compared to normal galaxies. Excluding these IR luminous samples from Kennicutt (1998) and considering only normal spirals reveal that the law is only valid up to $\sim 10^2 M_\odot$ pc$^{-2}$. Other studies of the Schmidt law at high densities involve HCN (Gao, Solomon 2004) and higher transition emission, such as 12CO (J = 2–1), as probes of hydrogen at higher densities. However, the weakness of this emission and the difficulty in comparing $\Sigma_{\text{SMD}}$ derived from these probes and others of lower density [such as CO (J = 1–0) and H I] has limited any consistent consideration of Schmidt law’s validity at a wide density range.

In order to approach a more fundamental understanding of the relation between the gas content and star formation, it is essential to know to what density the Schmidt law holds for normal galaxies, or whether the simple power-law formulation of the Schmidt law is valid at all. This will require that we use...
Table 1. Basic properties of the sample galaxies.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Galaxy</th>
<th>RA</th>
<th>Decl</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>D (Mpc)</th>
<th>i (°)</th>
<th>Size (′)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NGC 2903</td>
<td>09 29 19.9</td>
<td>+21 43 19</td>
<td>SAB</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 3593</td>
<td>11 11 59.2</td>
<td>+13 05 28</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 4041</td>
<td>11 59 38.7</td>
<td>+62 25 03</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 4192</td>
<td>12 11 15.4</td>
<td>+15 10 23</td>
<td>SAB</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 4212</td>
<td>12 13 06.4</td>
<td>+14 10 45</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 4254</td>
<td>12 16 16.9</td>
<td>+14 41 46</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 4303</td>
<td>12 19 21.4</td>
<td>+04 44 58</td>
<td>SAB</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 4321</td>
<td>12 20 23.2</td>
<td>+16 06 00</td>
<td>SAB</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 4419</td>
<td>12 24 25.1</td>
<td>+15 19 28</td>
<td>SB</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 4501</td>
<td>12 29 28.1</td>
<td>+14 41 50</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 4535</td>
<td>12 31 47.9</td>
<td>+08 28 25</td>
<td>SAB</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 4536</td>
<td>12 31 53.5</td>
<td>+02 27 50</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 4548</td>
<td>12 32 55.1</td>
<td>+14 46 20</td>
<td>SB</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 4569</td>
<td>12 34 18.7</td>
<td>+13 26 18</td>
<td>SAB</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 4579</td>
<td>12 35 12.6</td>
<td>+12 05 40</td>
<td>SAB</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 4654</td>
<td>12 41 25.7</td>
<td>+13 23 58</td>
<td>SAB</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 4689</td>
<td>12 45 15.3</td>
<td>+14 02 13</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 4736</td>
<td>12 48 32.4</td>
<td>+41 23 28</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 4826</td>
<td>12 54 16.9</td>
<td>+21 57 18</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 5005</td>
<td>13 08 37.6</td>
<td>+37 19 25</td>
<td>SAB</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 5194</td>
<td>13 27 46.9</td>
<td>+47 27 16</td>
<td>SA pec</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 5248</td>
<td>13 35 02.4</td>
<td>+09 08 23</td>
<td>SAB</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 6946</td>
<td>20 33 48.8</td>
<td>+59 58 50</td>
<td>SAB</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


a consistent probe of the gas, at a wide density range.

In this study, we consider the validity of the Schmidt law, including densities above this limit by using recent high-resolution single-dish and interferometry observations. Section 2 provides information on CO and Hα data, and the procedures for calculating ΣSMD and ΣSFR. In section 3 we show the result, and in section 4 we discuss the various uncertainties that are related to the Schmidt law, and the validity of the Schmidt law at high densities. Conclusions are given in section 5.

2. Data

We made high-resolution (typically 3′′) 12CO (J = 1–0) observations of galaxies in the Virgo Cluster, with the NMA (Nobeyama Millimeter Array). The observational parameters and data are presented in Sofue et al. (2003a). Using the obtained data and other high-resolution CO data obtained mainly at NRO (Nobeyama Radio Observatory), and further combining it with low-resolution single-dish observations in other studies to account for intermediate gas density regions, we studied the validity of the Schmidt law over a wide density range within 23 spiral galaxies. Fundamental parameters of the sample galaxies are listed in table 1.

2.1. Sample Selection

Rownd and Young (1999) found that the Schmidt law holds better when data are sampled locally within galaxies, with a smaller beam size. However, their study used CO and Hα data at 45′′ resolution, too large to consider high-density regions, which are typically small in size. In order to consider the Schmidt law for a wide range of gas density, we chose galaxies that have been observed in several beam sizes. Twenty three spiral galaxies were chosen, 14 of which are members of the Virgo Cluster. All are spirals, SA, SAB, or SB in morphology. Most samples have 3 data points corresponding to different beam sizes, expressing different density ranges. Some galaxies have been observed in more beam sizes. NGC 4254, NGC 4321, NGC 4501, NGC 4548, and NGC 4579 have 4 data points, and NGC 4303, NGC 4535, and NGC 4569 have 5 data points. The inclination angle, i(°), total blue magnitude, Btot, and peak 12CO (J = 1–0) line antenna temperature, T_A (mK) are in the range 18 ≤ i ≤ 74, 8.99 ≤ Btot ≤ 12.08, and 21 ≤ T_A ≤ 312, respectively.

2.2. CO Data

The CO and Hα data used in this study are listed in table 2. Much of the interferometric data were obtained during the course of the “Virgo High-resolution CO Survey”, a long-term project at Nobeyama Millimeter Array (Sofue et al. 2003a).
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Fig. 1. Schematic picture of regions A through E. The dotted lines indicate the edge of each of the regions. The regions are scaled using an arbitrary global diameter (edge of region E) of 5′′ (the average for Virgo galaxies).

We combined data with different spatial resolutions, so that data with low resolution, which averages a large area within the galaxy, would give low density values, whereas high-resolution data at galactic centers would give high molecular densities. Molecular gas densities in the literature were recalculated using a conversion factor of $X_{\text{CO}} = 2.0 \times 10^{20}$ (cm$^{-3}$ K$^{-1}$ km$^{-1}$ s) and the formulation:

$$\left[ \frac{\Sigma_{\text{SMD}}}{\text{g cm}^{-2}} \right] = 2 \times \left[ \frac{m_{\text{H}}}{\text{g}} \right] \times X_{\text{CO}} \left[ \frac{I_{\text{CO}}}{\text{K km s}^{-1}} \right] \times 1.6, \quad (1)$$

where $m_{\text{H}}$ and $I_{\text{CO}}$ are the mass of a hydrogen atom and the CO intensity, respectively. $X_{\text{CO}}$ is poorly understood for the sample galaxies, and the value we adopt is the mean value of those derived for our galaxy and other disk galaxies (e.g., Sanders et al. 1984; Bloemen et al. 1986; Solomon et al. 1987; Nakai, Kuno 1995). The factor 1.6 accounts for the mass of heavy elements. The gas densities for each of the regions within the galaxies were derived in the following manner:

1. The highest resolution data (≈ 3″, see table 2) was used to derive the gas mass at the central-most region. We call this region A.
2. Then, the second-highest resolution data (6″, 16″, or 45″, the highest for the individual galaxy for which we retrieved data), which was also centered on the nucleus, was used to derive the gas mass within the beam.
3. The gas mass derived from 1 was subtracted from that derived in 2. This would give the gas mass confined within the annulus corresponding to the difference in the area of the two beam sizes. We call this region in the annulus B.
4. The same procedures, 1 through 3, were repeated for larger beam sizes, and then the surface gas densities were derived for each of the annuli. Each of the annuli are labeled C, D, and E, respectively, in order of increasing beam size. Note that region E uses the global averaged value, and is not actually derived from a single beam.

This procedure divides the galaxy into several annuli, so that the derived gas densities give radial-dependent values. We present a schematic picture of the regions in figure 1.

2.3. $H\alpha$ Data

The calculation of the star-formation rate $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}} M_{\odot} \text{pc}^{-2} \text{yr}^{-1}$ was conducted following Kennicutt (1998), using the $H\alpha$ luminosity emitted from ionized gas around young massive stars:

$$\left[ \frac{\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}}{M_{\odot} \text{pc}^{-2} \text{yr}^{-1}} \right] = \left[ \frac{L(\text{H}\alpha)}{1.26 \times 10^{41} \text{erg s}^{-1}} \right] \times \left[ \frac{S}{\text{pc}^{2}} \right]^{-1}, \quad (2)$$

where $S$ is the projected area of $H\alpha$ emission. Procedures the
same as that for the CO data (1 thorough 4) were applied to the
samples was satisfactorily corrected. This did not cause any significant changes; because the typical extinction due to the Galaxy is of order 0.01 to 0.1 mag, this leaves a subset of 17 galaxies in our sample. The same figure, but with these galaxies excluded, is shown in figure 3. A tighter correlation can be seen through the gas density range. Figure 3 clearly shows that high density regions A, B, and C, and the lower density regions D and E, can be fitted with the same line. A least-squares fit to figure 3 yields a Schmidt law index, $N$, of 1.14 ± 0.08, allowing for errors in both of the axes.

4. Discussion

4.1. Error Estimation

(1) Derivation of $\Sigma_{\text{SMD}}$

In general, we refer to gas mass as the total of both the molecular and atomic masses. However, the legitimacy of using either or both of the hydrogen gas is not well known. While Kennicutt (1989) found that the total gas density correlates better with the star-formation rate, others, such as Gao and Solomon (2004), believe that denser (hence, H$_2$ rather than H I) gas correlates better. Boissier et al. (2003) find that the total and the molecular gas both correlate well with $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$, but the tight correlation seen in using the total gas is driven by the correlation of the molecular gas with the star-formation rate. The recent trend seems to be in favor of using only molecular gas, but it is still controversial. We used only molecular gas in our study, on the following grounds: (1) massive star formation presumably occurs in molecular clouds cores, where H I is less abundant. From the standpoint that star formation is a two-step process, where H$_2$ clouds are first formed from H I, and stars are then formed from H$_2$, we conclude that H$_2$ should be a more direct tracer of the star-formation rate. (2) We are interested in high-density regions of galaxies, and have used galactic centers. Because these regions are known to be H I deficient, even if H I plays a role in star formation, its mass should be negligible.

Another uncertainty resides in the CO-to-H$_2$ conversion factor, $X_{\text{CO}}$. The constant value of $X_{\text{CO}} = 2.0 \times 10^{20}$ is highly questionable. However, the conversion factor for each of the sample galaxies is not known, and moreover it’s variation within those galaxies is potentially difficult to know; we have used the average value of our Galaxy and galaxies with known

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>$\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global average</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>central 45''</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>central 16''</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>central 6''</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>central 3''</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>(6, 7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*References (1) Young et al. (1996), (2) Rownd and Young (1999), (3) Nishiya and Nakai (2001), (4) Koopmann et al. (2001), (5) Helfer et al. (2003), (6) Sakamoto et al. (1999), (7) Sofue et al. (2003a), (8) Ho et al. (1997). Data for the central 6'' were retrieved only for NGC 4303, NGC 4321, NGC 4535, NGC 4548, and NGC 4569. Data for the central 16'' were retrieved only for NGC 4254, NGC 4303, NGC 4501, NGC 4535, and NGC 4569. Global average, central 45'' and 3'' data were retrieved for all of the sample galaxies. Data from (2), (4), (6), and (7) were traced from radial profiles given in the reference. For galaxies that overlapped in (6) and (7), data from Sofue et al. (2003a) were used.
Fig. 2. $\Sigma_{\text{SMD}} - \Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$ for all of the sample galaxies. Internal H$\alpha$ extinction has not been corrected. The abscissa is $\log \Sigma_{\text{SMD}}$, and the ordinate is $\log \Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$. The filled circles, triangles, open circles, filled squares, and open squares stand for regions A, B, C, D, and E, respectively. The horizontal error bars for filled circles lie within the symbol. Notice that several points in region A are deviated from other plots.

$X_{\text{CO}}$ uniformly for all of the data for the sake of simplicity. Boissier et al. (2003) found that by using metallicity dependent $X_{\text{CO}}$, $\Sigma_{\text{SMD}}$ should typically change by a factor of 2 to 3 in the central regions compared to disk regions of nearby spirals, in the sense of decreasing gas mass. This, however, does not cause any disastrous changes compared to using a constant conversion factor, because factors of 2 to 3 makes little difference to the positions in which data are plotted on the $\log \Sigma_{\text{SMD}} - \log \Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$ scale. Again, we adopted the constant $X_{\text{CO}}$ for the sake of uniformity and simplicity.

The highest resolution measurements use interferometers, which have the “missing flux” problem. Spatially extended sources can not be detected, which has the effect of underestimating the surface density. Figure 3 indicates that compared to region D with 45'' resolution, regions A and B with the interferometrical observations are typically an order of magnitude higher in $\Sigma_{\text{SMD}}$. Even compared to region C with 16'' resolution, region A is higher, typically by a factor of about 3. This indicates that the missing flux has no significant effect on $\Sigma_{\text{SMD}}$.

The horizontal error bars in figure 3 do not include the uncertainties given above. They are typically 15% to 30%, and are mainly due to errors in the flux calibration, given in the original references.

(2) H$\alpha$ extinction

The H$\alpha$ emission lines are subject to extinction within the target galaxies, and we must also account for this in the analysis. A global, uniform extinction correction of $\sim 1$ mag is suggested by Kennicutt and Kent (1983), but this is an oversimplification, because central regions of galaxies are generally thought to be subject to larger extinction than disk regions.

The extinction for region A can be calculated from $E(B - V)$ magnitudes given in Ho et al. (1997), but extinction for the other regions, B through E, are not known.

An extinction model where the extinction increases in proportion to the hydrogen column number density, $N_{\text{H}}$ may be used for these regions. Using $N_{\text{H}} = 2 \times 10^{21} A_V$ (Schultz, Wiemer 1975; Bohlin et al. 1978; Sneden et al. 1978; Kent et al. 1991), and $N_{\text{H}} = X_{\text{CO}} I_{\text{CO}}$, the H$\alpha$ extinction, $A_R$, can be calculated by $A_R = 0.75 A_V$ (Rieke, Lebofsky 1985). We assume that $N_{\text{H}}$ is dominated by molecular hydrogen, because H I and H$_2$ are generally spatially offset in galaxies disks, divided by a transition region. As long as we calculate $\Sigma_{\text{SMD}}$ using only H$_2$, H I should only play a minor role in the extinction, even when calculating global values in region E. However, this extinction model is known to yield overestimates in regions of higher density (Wong, Blitz 2002), and will give unrealistic values of the $A_R$ magnitude up to 5 mag in region C, 10 mag in region B, and even more in region A. This is clearly an overestimation, because the gas consumption timescale, $\tau$, derived for these regions using this correction (see subsection 4.4) will yield $\tau$ of only several hundred years, too short to explain the many number of galaxies with such star-forming central regions. Therefore, we adopted the same extinction as that derived in region A, for regions B and C. For regions D and E, the extinction model explained above was adopted. A source of uncertainty in applying extinction based on molecular density for regions D and E, are the difference in the resolution for CO and H$\alpha$ measurements. Light from H II regions is absorbed by dust associated with molecules that are directly between the observer and the H II region in the line of sight. However, the observing beam of the CO observation is far larger than that of the H$\alpha$ observation. Therefore, we can only apply an extinction correction based on the average gas density of the
Fig. 4. Same figure as 3, but corrected for Hα extinction. A best fit yields \( N = 1.33 \pm 0.08 \).

The index and the constant of the fits for the disk and central regions are both well within the range of error, although the errors for each of the regions are large. This is consistent with the idea that the data plots in the central regions have the same Schmidt law as in the disk regions.

The beam size of the highest density region A (typically 3′′) corresponds to a projected scale of 250 pc for galaxies in the Virgo cluster. The Schmidt law is thought to be an empirical law that holds at kpc scales within galaxies, but our results imply that smaller scales of several hundred parsecs may still be valid when considering the Schmidt law. It may be noted that regions close to the nucleus are subject to physical conditions different from the disk. Besides the dense gas tracers, such as HCN and CO \((J = 2–1)\), observed in these regions, rotation curves in the central kpc are also known to be different from disks, in that the rotation becomes nearly rigid compared to nearly flat in the disks (Sofue et al. 2003b). This would lessen the effect of shear, which works on the clouds, and may change the efficiency of star formation based on gravitational collapse. These effects could work to cause a systematic change in the relation between the gas content and the star-formation rate in the central regions. However, the index \( N \) of the Schmidt law that we derived from the least-squares fitting in figure 4 indicates no systematic difference in the Schmidt law within the range of error. We conclude that the validity of the Schmidt law at densities from \( 10^9 M_\odot \text{pc}^{-2} \) up to \( 10^4 M_\odot \text{pc}^{-2} \) does not change.

It is difficult to consider the Schmidt law for normal galaxies at even higher densities, because doing this would require that we observe with even better spatial resolution, which in turn, would give rise to the problem that we may not be able to observe the molecular cloud and its counterpart star forming region within one beam, as we have seen in section 3 with galaxies at several Mpc from us. As can be understood from this example, the Schmidt law is fundamentally a correlation seen when averaged over a considerable scale. It may be said that this linear correlation breaks down when averaged over an area of under \( \sim 10^2 \text{pc} \). Thus, for higher densities, we must use IR luminous galaxies that have unusually high molecular densities, like in the studies by Kennicutt (1998). A superposition with these IR luminous starbursts from Kennicutt (1998) and our study is shown in figure 5. Error bars are not shown, but are the same as in figure 4. The high-density end of normal galaxies exhibits similar \( \Sigma_{\text{SMD}} \) and \( \Sigma_{\text{SFR}} \) as the lower density end of the starbursts, but the starbursts display higher \( \Sigma_{\text{SFR}} \) compared to the Schmidt law derived for normal galaxies at densities above \( \Sigma_{\text{SMD}} = 10^7 M_\odot \text{pc}^{-2} \). It is important to bear in mind, though, that \( \Sigma_{\text{SFR}} \) of the IR starbursts have been derived from IR luminosities, possibly introducing inconsistencies between the Hα-derived rates. \( \Sigma_{\text{SFR}} \) derived from Hα will indeed give a lower \( \Sigma_{\text{SFR}} \) if extinction is not corrected. However, we corrected for Hα extinction in our analysis, and Kewley et al. (2002) found that the star-formation rates derived from extinction corrected Hα and FIR luminosities agree well. Therefore, this systematic difference between starbursts and normal galaxies that we see in figure 5 may indeed be real, implying that, again, we must establish a relation between the gas and the star-formation rates for normal galaxies first in order to gain fundamental knowledge of the...
relatively reliable, despite the unquantifiable uncertainties, with starbursts and normal galaxies would not be significantly in the slope would not be very significant. The comparison effects would offset each other to some extent, and changes in regions D and E, resulting in the decrease of the slope. These density slightly in regions A and B and the star-formation rate missing flux and underestimated extinction would increase the will raise the slope while, on the other hand, correcting for the derived by Kennicutt (1998). A least-squares fit to the normal and starbursts together, gives relation between the gas content and the star-formation rate. A least-squares fit to the normal and starbursts together, gives $\alpha = 1$. It is justified to say that the derived slope of $N = 1.33$ is relatively reliable, despite the unquantifiable uncertainties, such as $X_{CO}$, the missing-flux problem stated in subsection 4.1, and the problem of underestimating H$\alpha$ extinction, stated in subsection 4.2. This is because allowing for a systematic increase in $X_{CO}$ by a factor of 3 with galactocentric radius will raise the slope while, on the other hand, correcting for the missing flux and underestimated extinction would increase the density slightly in regions A and B and the star-formation rate in regions D and E, resulting in the decrease of the slope. These effects would offset each other to some extent, and changes in the slope would not be very significant. The comparison with starbursts and normal galaxies would not be significantly affected, because the results by Kennicutt (1998) would also have to be corrected in accordance.

4.3. Interpretation of the Schmidt Law Index $N$

The widely accepted value of $N = 1.4$ by (Kennicutt 1998) is unchallenged regarding its density range of order $\sim 5$, and a simple interpretation of this index is often given that the star-formation rate volume density, $\rho_{SF}$, scales with gas volume density, $\rho_{gas}$, divided by the free-fall timescale of the gas cloud, $t_{ff} \propto (\sqrt{G \rho_{gas}})^{-1}$. This gives

$$\rho_{SF} \propto \frac{\rho_{gas}}{(\sqrt{G \rho_{gas}})^{-1}} \propto \rho_{gas}^{1.5}, \quad (6)$$

which may explain the value of $N = 1.4$. However, this explanation assumes that we are observing a single gas cloud as it gravitationally collapses, and eventually forms a star. In actual observations, we are observing an area of the galaxy with a beam having scales of several hundred parsecs. Naturally, it is not possible to observe a single cloud, but instead the observer is averaging the gas density and the star-formation rate of many clouds, which are typically several $\times$ 10 parsecs in linear scale. On such scales, the increase in the gas density, $\rho_{gas}$ (or, $\Sigma_{SMD}$), should not be interpreted as an increase in the density of the individual clouds. Instead, we should regard the change as being an increase in the number of molecular clouds within that beam. Therefore, as long as the observing beam is much larger than the typical size of a single molecular cloud, $\Sigma_{SFR}$ should increase in proportion to $\Sigma_{SMD}$, giving $N = 1$ as the natural result. A best fit to the IR starbursts from Kennicutt (1998) will give $N = 1.28 \pm 0.08$, similar to that for the normal galaxies in our study. However, the factor $A$ of the Schmidt law (provided $\Sigma_{SFR} = A \Sigma_{SMD}^{N}$), determined by the y-axis intercept of figure 5, is higher for these starbursts compared to the normal galaxies, as can be clearly seen from figure 5 with $log A = -9.09 \pm 0.24$. The datasets for the starbursts were recalculated using the same $X_{CO}$ as in this study. The transition in the Schmidt law from normal galaxies to starbursts seems to be step-like, or that there are two systems in the Schmidt law, with systematically different star-formation rates.

4.4. The Star Formation Efficiency

It is convenient to define the star-formation efficiency (SFE) by

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Hα extinction correction</th>
<th>$N$</th>
<th>log $A$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$1.14 \pm 0.08$</td>
<td>$-9.87 \pm 0.20$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$1.33 \pm 0.09$</td>
<td>$-9.95 \pm 0.20$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$1.51 \pm 0.60$</td>
<td>$-10.19 \pm 0.4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A + B + C + D</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$1.22 \pm 0.15$</td>
<td>$-9.68 \pm 0.4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennicutt (1998)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$1.31 \pm 0.04$</td>
<td>($1.4 \pm 0.15$) $-9.45 \pm 0.07$ ($-9.61 \pm 0.13$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starbursts</td>
<td>No (IR)</td>
<td>$1.28 \pm 0.08$</td>
<td>$-9.09 \pm 0.24$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Schmidt law index and the constant for various regions in our study, and that derived by Kennicutt (1998). A constant value of 1.1 mag was adopted for Hα extinction in Kennicutt (1998). The values for Kennicutt (1998) were changed to include only molecular gas, whereas the values in parentheses are from the original paper.
Fig. 6. Star-formation efficiency (SFE), galactocentric radius, for all of the sample galaxies. The filled circles are data with no Hα extinction correction, and the open circles are extinction-corrected data following the method shown in section 4. The bottom-right figure is a superposition of the subset of 17 sample galaxies, all corrected for extinction.

\[
\text{SFE (yr}^{-1}) = \frac{\Sigma \text{SFR}}{\Sigma \text{SMD}}.
\]

indicating the efficiency of gas consumption for the formation of stars. Rownd and Young (1999) have shown that SFE is roughly constant within galaxies, using 45'' resolution data. We show in figure 6 the change in SFE according to the distance from the center, using data in regions A through E. The radii for the plots are taken at the outer edge of each of the regions. Notice that the last panel in figure 6, with all of the
galaxies superposed, except those excluded in section 3, exhibit a roughly constant SFE of around $10^{-6}$ to $10^{-10}$ (yr$^{-1}$), even in the central regions, with an exception of several galaxies with an enhanced SFE. A constant SFE is a manifestation of the Schmidt law with an index $N = 1$, and shows that the gas-consumption timescale, $\tau$, or the inverse of SFE, is about 1 to 10 Gyr through the entire disk and nucleus for most of the galaxies, and down to 0.1 Gyr for those galaxies with the enhanced SFE mentioned above.

5. Conclusions

We considered the relation between the gas content and the star-formation rate at high molecular densities in the central regions of 23 nearby normal spiral galaxies, using recent high-resolution CO observation data and combining it with previous single-dish observations. Our main results are as follows:

(1) The Schmidt law, or a simple power law of $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}} \propto \Sigma_{\text{SMD}}^N$, is found to be valid at densities of up to $\Sigma_{\text{SMD}} \sim 10^2 (M_\odot \text{pc}^{-2})$ for normal spiral galaxies. Regardless of the radical physical differences to which these high density regions are subject, the Schmidt law index is found to be $N = 1.33 \pm 0.09$, with $N \sim 1$ in both the central and disk regions.

(2) IR luminous starbursts, which Kennicutt (1998) used to define a composite Schmidt law, is found to display a systematic difference compared to normal galaxies. These starbursts show systematically higher star-formation rates compared to that expected from the Schmidt law for normal spirals.

(3) The star-formation efficiency (SFE) was found to be roughly constant for more than half of our samples, consistent with the result by Rownd and Young (1999), even in the central few hundred parsecs of spirals. Several other galaxies show higher SFE in the central regions.

Further analysis of the Schmidt law, in order to gain insights into the physics of star formation depending on local physical states, should involve a galaxy sampled at multiple points within its disk, categorized by its characteristic physical conditions. A fundamental difficulty is that the Schmidt law has a time-averaged nature. The spatial resolution, velocity fields, and the local star-formation timescale can alter the relation between the gas and the star-formation tracers. If these uncertainties are removed, we may see sequential differences in the observed Schmidt law according to the physical conditions.

Although our results imply that starbursts display a different sequence of the gas–star formation rate relation compared to normal spirals, there are still uncertainties in the consistency between $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$ derived from the IR and H$\alpha$ luminosities, which are difficult to quantify. This is because the rate of gas clouds or dust covering a newly born OB star could alter the “true” star-formation rate when converted into IR or H$\alpha$ luminosities. In order to compare normal and starburst galaxies in a more quantitative way, it will be important to use a uniform tracer of star formation.
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